

Addendum

Addendum: Hemmer, S., et al. Comparison of Three Untargeted Data Processing Workflows for Evaluating LC-HRMS Metabolomics Data. *Metabolites* 2020, 10, 378

Selina Hemmer ¹, Sascha K. Manier ¹, Svenja Fischmann ², Folker Westphal ², Lea Wagmann ¹ and Markus R. Meyer ^{1,*}

¹ Department of Experimental and Clinical Toxicology, Institute of Experimental and Clinical Pharmacology and Toxicology, Center for Molecular Signaling (PZMS), Saarland University, 66421 Homburg, Germany; selina.hemmer@uks.eu (S.H.); Sascha.manier@uks.eu (S.K.M.); lea.wagmann@uks.eu (L.W.)

² State Bureau of Criminal Investigation Schleswig-Holstein, 24116 Kiel, Germany; Svenja.Dr.Fischmann@polizei.landsh.de (S.F.); Folker.Dr.Westphal@polizei.landsh.de (F.W.)

* Correspondence: markus.meyer@uks.eu

Received: 21 October 2020; Accepted: 22 October 2020; Published: 27 October 2020



The authors wish to make the following comment to this paper [1].

To avoid any misunderstandings and misleading interpretations regarding the general possibilities of Compound Discoverer (CD) when reading the paper, we would like to add the following comment. We used Compound Discoverer (CD) with an already existing workflow for untargeted metabolomics namely “Untargeted Metabolomics with statistics detect unknowns with ID using Online Database and mzLogic” without changing any parameters (“out-of-the-box”). Therefore, some features of CD were not used, such as direct evaluation of isotopes and adducts, Scripting node for normalization, and comparing three groups visually after ANOVA, as it was carried out for the other two workflows.

Readers should be aware that CD is nevertheless able to determine isotopic patterns and elemental composition, integrate Scripting node that can then be used to integrate R or Python scripts, and is capable of comparing multiple groups, performing ANOVA with Tukey as a post-hoc test, and nested designs.

The authors would like to apologize for any misunderstandings appearing from the original manuscript. These comments do not affect the scientific results.

Author Contributions: S.H., S.K.M., L.W. and M.R.M. designed the experiments; S.H. performed the experiments; S.H., S.K.M., and M.R.M. analyzed and interpreted the data. S.F. and F.W. provided the reference standard of A-CHMINACA. S.H. and M.R.M. wrote and edited the manuscript; S.H. prepared the figures; S.H., S.K.M., L.W., S.F., F.W. and M.R.M. reviewed the manuscript. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Reference

1. Hemmer, S.; Manier, S.K.; Fischmann, S.; Westphal, F.; Wagmann, L.; Meyer, M.R. Comparison of Three Untargeted Data Processing Workflows for Evaluating LC-HRMS Metabolomics Data. *Metabolites* 2020, 10, 378. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

Publisher’s Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).